Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/518,113	NANGLA, KIREN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MATTHEW D. MATZEK	1794
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>MATTHEW D. MATZEK</u> .	(3)	
(2) Chad Hinrichs.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>8 December 2008</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:  ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: all discussed		
Claims discussed: all discussed		
Prior art documents discussed: Lecomte (EP 0 304 351 A1)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner suggested incorporating claims 2 and 3 into independent claims 1 and 46 to put the claims into condition for allowance. Applicant's representative consulted his client, who agreed to such an amendment.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Matthew D Matzek/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
(r	spinous reprisent a representat	Signature - it appropriate)